

\$12,200,000

GRANT M. OPPERMAN, TIMOTHY A. DAVIS, AMY B. BLUMENFIELD, ALAN C. IVAR, MICHAEL NUNBERG, HOWARD ZHAO, JOSHUA KANER, JOHN CHRISTIAN VINCI, and SUNIL KAMATH, Individually and as Representatives for all others similarly situated and the General Public vs. CELLCO PARTNERSHIP, a Delaware General Partnership, and VERIZON WIRELESS MESSAGING SERVICES, LLC, a Texas company, both doing business as Verizon Wireless, and DOES 1 through 300, Inclusive

VERIZON WIRELESS

Case No. BC326764

Superior Court of the State of California, Central District

Honorable Judge Robert L. Hess

Plaintiffs, as class representatives, alleged that they and in excess of 300,000 other purchasers of the Motorola V710 phone, were deceived by Verizon Wireless in its advertisements and sale of the high end V710 with express representations that it had numerous Bluetooth® capabilities, that in fact it did not. Plaintiffs alleged that Verizon had in fact disabled most of the Bluetooth® functions so that it could charge customers to for various functions that OBEX Bluetooth® functions would have allowed them to perform for free. Cases were filed in California and Ohio, and a AAA arbitration filed in New Jersey. A settlement was reached on March 16, 2006, and the cases were consolidated in Los Angeles before Judge Hess for settlement purposes. Verizon agreed to refund full purchase price for customers and former customers who purchased Motorola V710 cell phones, and V710 specific accessories, at the full purchase price, and refund any "termination" charges, on a claims made basis. Settlement valued between \$10.8 and \$12.2 million by defendant, and \$18.1 million by plaintiffs.